

The Velo-Zwanziger problem for the Weinberg-Salam model

Luca Fabbri

INFN & Gruppo Teorici, Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Bologna
GPP, Département de Physique de l'Université de Montréal

Abstract

We consider the Velo-Zwanziger problem of the Weinberg-Salam model showing the causal propagation of the elementary fields.

Introduction

In the late 1960s, Velo and Zwanziger in their seminal paper showed that for theories of propagating fields of matter in interaction with external fields acausality can be entailed.

On the other hand, again in the late 1960s, Weinberg and Salam built a theory of fields characterized by the $U(1) \times SU(2)_L$ local gauge symmetry among the massless fields, in which the mechanism of symmetry breaking generates the masses of the fields themselves; and because the fields involved get their mass while still interacting with one another then the issue of acausality as discussed by Velo and Zwanziger may arise.

The WS theory is the last experimentally successful model of matter fields, and although the VZ problem may give rise to acausality, one would expect that causality problems do not really occur for this standard model: in the following we will apply the VZ general methods to the special case of the WS standard model, showing the causal propagation of the elementary fields considered.

1 The Velo-Zwanziger problem

To begin with, we remind to the reader the Velo-Zwanziger general methodology employed to discuss acausal propagation [1].

In general matter fields are classified according to the value of their spin, namely a given matter field of spin s possesses $2s + 1$ degrees of freedom; these will have to correspond to the $2s + 1$ independent solutions of a system of differential equations that specify the highest-order time derivative for all components of the field, called system of matter field equations. However, it may happen that field equations are not enough to determine the correct rank of the solution, and thus restrictions need be imposed in terms of equations in which all components of the field have highest-order time derivatives that never occur, called constraints: these constraints can be imposed in two ways, either being implied by the field equations, or being assigned as subsidiary conditions that

come along with the field equations themselves. Although the former procedure seems more elegant, whenever interactions are present it can give rise to the situation for which the interacting fields could let appear terms of the highest-order derivative in the equation that determines the propagation of the wave fronts, allowing these terms to influence the propagation of the wave fronts themselves.

The equation that determines the propagation of the wavefronts is obtained by considering in the field equations eventually modified by constraints only the terms of the highest-order derivative in which all derivatives will be formally replaced with the vector n getting a matrix, called propagator or characteristic matrix, of which one has to compute the determinant, called characteristic determinant, which will be set to zero, giving an equation, called characteristic equation; its solutions n represent the characteristic propagation of the wave fronts: if there is no time-like solutions then there is no acausal propagation of the wave front.

Now what happens it that for special types of field equations for massive fields the interactions let the commutator of covariant derivatives appear in the constraints, and hence in the field equations themselves, modifying the propagator, and thus the characteristic equation, and finally the solutions, affecting causality.

This is a very general procedure that can be applied to any model, and our task will be to apply it to the WS standard model.

2 The Weinberg-Salam model

2.1 Generalities of the Weinberg-Salam model

Next, we recall the general structure of the Weinberg-Salam standard model.

First we have to consider the Lagrangian in which the matter contribution is given in the simplest case of one generation in terms of two fermion fields verifying the condition of masslessness, and as a consequence we will be able to separate the left- and right-handed projections, and to re-arrange the two left-handed and the two right-handed projections into two doublets, each of which could consequently mix according to the $SU(2)$ transformations: the first postulate will be that the mixing will occur only for the left-handed doublet according to the $SU(2)_L$ transformation, leaving the right-handed doublet without possibility to mix, so that for simplicity we will consider it to be a right-handed singlet; beyond this mixing, there will be the complex phase $U(1)$ transformation: the second postulate will be that this transformation acts upon the right-handed singlet and left-handed doublet in such a way that the former will be twice of the latter coupling constant. Finally, we will require the existence of complex scalars arranged in one doublet, transforming under the same $SU(2)_L$ transformation, and under the complex phase $U(1)$ transformation: the third postulate will be that this last transformation acts upon the fermionic left-handed doublet and the complex scalar doublet in such a way that the former will be opposite of the latter coupling constant. When everything is translated into a mathematical language, we have that under the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ transformation the fermion and complex scalar multiplets transform as

$$\phi' = e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\theta} - \mathbb{I}\alpha)} \phi \quad L' = e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\theta} + \mathbb{I}\alpha)} L, \quad R' = e^{-i\alpha} R \quad (1)$$

and by considering the $U(1) \times SU(2)_L$ local transformation we have that gauge fields B_μ and $\vec{A}_\mu$ are introduced transforming as

$$B'_\mu = B_\mu - \frac{1}{g'} \partial_\mu \alpha \quad \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}'_\mu = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\theta}} \left[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \left(\vec{A}_\mu - \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \vec{\theta} \right) \right] e^{\frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\theta}} \quad (2)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu \phi &= \nabla_\mu \phi - \frac{i}{2} \left(-g' \mathbb{I} B_\mu + g \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu \right) \phi \\ D_\mu L &= \nabla_\mu L - \frac{i}{2} \left(g' \mathbb{I} B_\mu + g \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu \right) L \\ D_\mu R &= \nabla_\mu R - i g' B_\mu R \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

are the gauge covariant derivatives and

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu \\ \vec{A}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu \vec{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \vec{A}_\mu + g \vec{A}_\mu \times \vec{A}_\nu \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

are the gauge curvatures.

Finally, the Lagrangian given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \lambda^2 \left(v^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi^4 \right) - G_Y \left(\bar{R} \phi^\dagger L + \bar{L} \phi R \right) - \frac{1}{4} B^2 - \frac{1}{4} A^2 + D_\mu \phi^\dagger D^\mu \phi + \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} \left(\bar{L} \gamma^\mu D_\mu L - D_\mu \bar{L} \gamma^\mu L \right) + \frac{i}{2} \left(\bar{R} \gamma^\mu D_\mu R - D_\mu \bar{R} \gamma^\mu R \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

is the most general $U(1) \times SU(2)_L$ invariant possible.

For such a Lagrangian, the potential of the scalar is given by

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \phi^4 - v^2 \phi^2 \quad (6)$$

whose original vacuum is stationary but unstable and thus it will naturally move toward the stable stationary point given by $\phi^2 = v^2$ which breaks the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ symmetry.

Having broken the symmetry, it is without loss of generality that we can always choose the special gauge called unitary gauge, with respect to which we can always choose

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

and also

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \quad R = (e_R) \quad (8)$$

and

$$B_\mu = N_\mu \quad \vec{A}_\mu = \vec{M}_\mu \quad (9)$$

which are vector fields but they are not gauge vector fields any longer, and thus suitable to describe massive vector fields, as described by the Proca theory.

Finally these new fields can be collected as

$$e_L + e_R \equiv e \quad (10)$$

and re-named as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (M_\mu^1 \pm iM_\mu^2) = W_\mu^\pm \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \theta N_\mu - \sin \theta M_\mu^3 &= A_\mu \\ \sin \theta N_\mu + \cos \theta M_\mu^3 &= Z_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\frac{g'}{g} = \tan \theta$ in terms of the Weinberg angle, in order for the mass matrix to be diagonal.

Now, because the symmetry has been only partially broken, there still is a residual $U(1)_q$ symmetry, and setting $g \sin \theta = q$, we have this $U(1)_q$ symmetry acting upon the complex fields as

$$e' = e^{-i\beta} e \quad W_\mu'^\pm = e^{\mp i\beta} W_\mu^\pm \quad (13)$$

which is a $U(1)_q$ local transformation corresponding to the gauge field A_μ that transforms as

$$A'_\mu = A_\mu - \frac{1}{q} \partial_\mu \beta \quad (14)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu e &= \nabla_\mu e - iq A_\mu e \\ D_\mu W_\nu^\pm &= \nabla_\mu W_\nu^\pm \mp iq A_\mu W_\nu^\pm \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

is the gauge covariant derivative and

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad (16)$$

is the gauge curvature.

A final definition is given for the constants $vG_Y = m_e$ as the mass of the fermion, $\sqrt{2}\lambda v = m_H$ as the mass of the scalar and $\frac{gv}{\sqrt{2}\cos\theta} = \frac{m_W}{\cos\theta} = m_Z$ as the masses of the vector fields.

At last the Lagrangian after the symmetry breaking with all mass terms is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -m_H^2 \left(\frac{H^2 + 4vH}{4v^2} \right) H^2 - \frac{m_e}{v} H \bar{e} e + \left(m_W^2 W^2 + \frac{m_Z^2}{2} Z^2 \right) \left(\frac{H^2 + 2vH}{v^2} \right) + \\ & + \frac{g^2}{4} (W^{\mu-} W^{\nu+} - W^{\nu-} W^{\mu+}) \cdot (W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ - W_\nu^- W_\mu^+) + \\ & + (g \cos \theta)^2 (W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- Z^\nu Z^\mu - W^2 Z^2) + \\ & + \frac{i}{2} (W_\nu^+ W_\mu^- - W_\mu^+ W_\nu^-) \cdot (g \cos \theta \nabla^{[\mu} Z^{\nu]} - q F^{\mu\nu}) + \\ & + ig \cos \theta Z_\nu (W_\mu^+ D^{[\mu} W^{\nu]-} - W_\mu^- D^{[\mu} W^{\nu]+}) + \\ & + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^- \bar{\nu} \gamma^\mu e_L + W_\mu^+ \bar{e}_L \gamma^\mu \nu) + \frac{g}{\cos \theta} Z_\mu \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\nu} \gamma^\mu \nu - \frac{1}{2} \bar{e}_L \gamma^\mu e_L \right) + \\ & + q \tan \theta Z_\mu \bar{e} \gamma^\mu e - \frac{1}{4} F^2 + \left[-\frac{1}{4} (\nabla_{[\mu} Z_{\nu]} \nabla^{[\mu} Z^{\nu]}) + \frac{m_Z^2}{2} Z^2 \right] + \\ & + \left[-\frac{1}{2} (D_{[\mu} W_{\nu]}^+ D^{[\mu} W^{\nu]-}) + m_W^2 W^2 \right] + [\nabla_\mu H \nabla^\mu H - m_H^2 H^2] + \\ & + \left[\frac{i}{2} (\bar{e} \gamma^\mu D_\mu e - D_\mu \bar{e} \gamma^\mu e) - m_e \bar{e} e \right] + \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\nu} \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \nu - \nabla_\mu \bar{\nu} \gamma^\mu \nu) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which is $U(1)_q$ invariant.

This standard model is just one special model of mutually interacting massive fields, upon which the general procedure of the VZ problem discussed above can be applied; in the following section, we will then consider the VZ general methodology applied to this particular model of fields of matter in interaction, discussing their propagation.

2.2 Velo-Zwanziger problem of Weinberg-Salam model

The Velo-Zwanziger problem for the Weinberg-Salam model consists in considering the Lagrangian of the WS model, varying it to get the field equations with the correspondent constraints, which will yield the propagator and the characteristic equation of the wave fronts; this fundamental problem has almost never been treated in the literature, where the nearly solitary contribution is that of Farkas and Pocsik in [2]: in this paper the authors claim to have proved the causality of the standard model, but nevertheless they get propagators that are incorrect and moreover their discussion disregards parts of the matter fields with no evident justification. In the following, we will consider the correct characteristic equations for all matter fields involved.

So the standard model has field equations given by

$$\nabla^2 H + m_H^2 \left(\frac{H^2 + 3vH + 2v^2}{2v^2} \right) H - \left(\frac{m_Z^2 Z^2}{2} + m_W^2 W^2 \right) \left(\frac{H+v}{v^2} \right) + \frac{m_e}{2v} \bar{e}e = 0 \quad (18)$$

for the scalar field together with

$$i\gamma^\mu D_\mu e + q \tan \theta Z_\mu \gamma^\mu e - \frac{q}{2 \cos \theta} Z_\mu \gamma^\mu e_L + \frac{q}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ \gamma^\mu \nu - m_e \left(\frac{H+v}{v} \right) e = 0 \quad (19)$$

and

$$i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \nu + \frac{q}{2 \cos \theta} Z_\mu \gamma^\mu \nu + \frac{q}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- \gamma^\mu e_L = 0 \quad (20)$$

for the fermion fields with

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} - iq (W_\mu^+ D^{[\mu} W^{\nu]-} - W_\mu^- D^{[\mu} W^{\nu]+}) - \\ & - iq D_\mu (W^{\mu+} W^{\nu-} - W^{\nu+} W^{\mu-}) - \\ & - qq \cos \theta [(W^{\nu-} W^{\mu+} + W^{\mu-} W^{\nu+}) Z_\mu - 2W^2 Z^\nu] + q \bar{e} \gamma^\nu e = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for the gauge vector field and also

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_\mu \nabla^{[\mu} Z^{\nu]} + (g \cos \theta)^2 [(W^{\nu-} W^{\mu+} + W^{\mu-} W^{\nu+}) Z_\mu - 2W^2 Z^\nu] + \\ & + m_Z^2 Z^\nu \left(\frac{v+H}{v} \right)^2 + ig \cos \theta (W_\mu^+ D^{[\mu} W^{\nu]-} - W_\mu^- D^{[\mu} W^{\nu]+}) + \\ & + ig \cos \theta D_\mu (W^{\mu+} W^{\nu-} - W^{\nu+} W^{\mu-}) + q \bar{e} \gamma^\nu e \tan \theta - \\ & - \frac{q}{2 \cos \theta} (\bar{e}_L \gamma^\nu e_L - \bar{\nu} \gamma^\nu \nu) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for the massive vector field and

$$\begin{aligned} & D_\mu D^{[\mu} W^{\nu]+} + ig \cos \theta Z_\mu D^{[\mu} W^{\nu]+} + ig \cos \theta D_\mu (Z^\mu W^{\nu+} - Z^\nu W^{\mu+}) - \\ & - iW_\mu^+ (g \cos \theta \nabla^{[\mu} Z^{\nu]} - q F^{\mu\nu}) + (g \cos \theta)^2 (W_\mu^+ Z^\mu Z^\nu - W^{\nu+} Z^2) + \\ & + m_W^2 W^{\nu+} \left(\frac{v+H}{v} \right)^2 + g^2 (W^{\nu-} W_\mu^+ W^{\mu+} - W^2 W^{\nu+}) + \frac{q}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu} \gamma^\nu e_L = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for the massive charged vector fields.

Now as it is well-known, scalars are unconstrained, and field equations (18) do not develop constraints. And as it is also known, constraints must be settled for fermions in general but for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ case; so spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions are unconstrained, and field equations (19) and (20) do not develop constraints as well. Different is the case for the vector fields, which need constraints provided by the divergence of the field equations: massless vector fields need 2 constraints, which are already provided by the two-fold freedom of gauge, and no additional constraint is developed by the divergenceless field equations (21); on the other hand massive vector fields need 1 constraint, and the divergence of the field equations (22) and (23) given by

$$D_\mu Z^\mu + 2Z^\mu \nabla_\mu \ln(v + H) + \frac{m_e}{\sqrt{2}m_Z} \frac{i\bar{e}\gamma e}{(v + H)} = 0 \quad (24)$$

and

$$D_\mu W^{\mu+} - iq \tan \theta Z^\mu W_\mu^+ + 2W^{\mu+} \nabla_\mu \ln(v + H) - \frac{m_e}{m_W} \frac{i\bar{\nu}\gamma e}{(v + H)} = 0 \quad (25)$$

provides the constraints needed to balance the number of degrees of freedom.

Finally, we see that by using these constraints (24) and (25) back into the correspondent field equation, and by choosing the Lorentz gauge, all field equations above can be written equivalently as field equations with propagator given by

$$P(n) = n^2 \quad (26)$$

for the scalar field together with

$$[P(n)] = n_\mu \gamma^\mu \quad (27)$$

for all fermion fields with

$$[P(n)]^{\mu\nu} = n^2 g^{\mu\nu} \quad (28)$$

for all vector fields; thus the characteristic equation has solutions of the light-like type for all fields involved: all the fields of the standard model have causal propagation.

Conclusion

In this paper we have proved the causal propagation of all fields in the standard model.

This issue was also considered in [2] in which the authors claim to have proved the same result, which is the right one, but which has nevertheless been obtained from incorrect equations, and further within an incomplete treatment: in fact, although it is true that no time-like normal vector can be found among the acceptable solutions of the characteristic equation $(v + H) \cdot n^2 \equiv 0$ coming from the propagator

$$[P(n)]^{\mu\nu} = v^2 n^2 g^{\mu\nu} + n^\mu n^\nu (2vH + H^2) \quad (29)$$

nonetheless it is also true that this propagator is incorrect, and furthermore this propagator comes from field equations in which fermions have been neglected; on the other hand, in the present paper, we have proved that no time-like normal vector is found among the solutions of the characteristic equation $n^2 \equiv 0$ coming from the propagator

$$[P(n)]^{\mu\nu} = n^2 g^{\mu\nu} \quad (30)$$

which is the correct propagator obtained from field equations in which all fields have been considered. However, beyond all reasons of generality and correctness, there is a deeper reason for which the result presented here is fundamental; that is, by proving that not only the solutions of the characteristic equations, but also the characteristic equations themselves and even more the propagators are those we would have had in the massless case, we show that the propagation is not influenced by the mass generation mechanism at all.

This fact is important, because it shows that the propagation of fields of matter whose masses have been generated by this mechanism is the same propagation of fields in masslessness condition, where causality is ensured.

This situation recalls very closely what happens for renormalization, for which the propagator of fields having mass generated by this mechanism has the same behaviour of the propagator of fields with mass null, and renormalizability is warranted.

Acknowledgments. I am deeply grateful to Professor Giorgio Velo for his very valuable help.

References

- [1] G. Velo and D. Zwanziger, *Phys. Rev.* **188**, 2218 (1969).
- [2] S. Farkas and G. Pocsik, *Heavy Ion Phys.* **17**, 145 (2003).